

## 初階邏輯試題

In this test,

“ $\neg$ ” means “not”,

“ $\wedge$ ” means “and”,

“ $\vee$ ” means “or”,

“ $\rightarrow$ ” means “if...then...”,

“ $\leftrightarrow$ ” means “if and only if”,

“ $\forall x$ ” means “for all x” and

“ $\exists x$ ” means “for some x”.

I. For each of the following statements, just write **True** or **False**. No explanation is needed. **(30 points; 3 points each)**

1. If neither P nor Q is a contradiction, then  $P \wedge Q$  is not a contradiction.
2. Let R be a binary predicate. Then  $R(a, b)$  logically implies  $R(b, a)$ .
3. P logically implies Q if and only if P logically implies  $P \rightarrow Q$ .
4. If A and B are consistent and A and C are consistent, then B and C must be consistent.
5.  $A \vee (A \wedge [(B \wedge C) \rightarrow (D \vee E)])$  is equivalent to  $A \wedge (A \vee F)$ .
6.  $(P \leftrightarrow Q) \vee (P \leftrightarrow R) \vee (R \leftrightarrow Q)$  is not a tautology.
7. If we add a new premise to an invalid argument, the resulting argument will still be invalid.
8.  $A \leftrightarrow (A \leftrightarrow A)$  is a tautology.
9. If we add a new premise to a valid argument, the resulting argument may be invalid.
10. If P does not imply Q, then P must imply  $\neg Q$ .

II. Let “Lxy” stand for “x loves y”,  
“Hxy” stand for “x hates y”,  
“Px” stand for “x is a philosopher” and  
“M” stand for “Mary”.

Please symbolize the following two sentences. **(20 points; 10 points each)**

- (1) There is a philosopher who hates another philosopher and who is loved by exactly two philosophers.
- (2) Mary hates every philosopher unless at least three philosophers love her.

**III.** Please give counterexamples to the following two **invalid** arguments. (20 points; 10 points each)

(1)  $\forall x(Px \vee Rx) / \therefore \forall xPx \vee \forall xRx$

(2)  $\exists x(Px \rightarrow \exists yRy) / \therefore \exists xPx \rightarrow \exists yRy$

**IV.** Please prove the following two **valid** arguments. (You may use the system on the next page. But virtually all formal proof systems are acceptable; just make your proof as clear as possible). (30 points; 15 points each)

(1)  $/ \therefore \exists x \forall y Pxy \rightarrow \forall y \exists x Pxy$

(2)  $\exists x(Px \vee Qx) \rightarrow \forall xRx / \therefore \forall x \exists y ((Px \rightarrow Rx) \wedge (Qy \rightarrow Rx))$